

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,306	BADLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Venci	1641	

All Participants:

(1) David J. Venci (USPTO).

(2) Jennifer Zarutskie (Foley Hoag).

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: July 10, 2006

Time: 12:00

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Pursuant to the Requirement for Restriction/Election set forth in the Office communication mailed April 19, 2006, Examiner requested a species election of one peptide sequence from claims 3, 5 and 6. Applicants' representative did not make an election.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)